Machine Learning Module 7: Interpretable Machine Learning

Marc-Olivier Boldi

Master in Management, Business Analytics, HEC UNIL

Spring 2024

MOB (HEC MSc Mgt BA)

Machine Learning

Table of Contents





3 Partial Dependence Plots



- (日)

æ

Table of Contents



- ∢ 🗗 ▶

э

In ML, some models are interpretable (e.g., regression, CART) and some are not (e.g., SVM, NN).

So called interpretable machine learning is a set of techniques aiming at

- Discover / rank variables or features by their importance on the predicted outcome,
- Associate variation of the important features with a direction of the outcome (positive vs negative association).

Variable importance

Table of Contents



Image: A matrix

æ

Variable importance

Variable importance is a method that provides a measure of the importance of each feature for the model prediction.

The variables importance **for a trained model** can be evaluated for one variable by:

- In the test set, shuffle the instances on one variable,
- Make the predictions of this new test set,
- Compute the quality metric (RMSE, Accuracy, ...),
- Compare it to the quality metric on the original test set.
- If the variable is important, giving to the model an incorrect values of this variable **should decrease** the quality metric,

This is repeated for each variable.

6/34

Illustration



If predictions using the right-hand side data are the same as the left-hand side ones, then Var2 is not important.

With the iris data and a CART, trained on 80% of the data (test set at 20%). The tree is



We see directly that Petal length and Petal width are the only two important features (except in case of missing data).

To measure this, in the test set, we shuffle Petal length. The accuracy of the shuffled test set is much lower than the original one (left: original test set; right: modified test set). This confirms that Petal length is essential for a good prediction of the species by the model.

```
> set.seed(567)
                                                                    > iris.te.PL <- iris.te
> confusionMatrix(data=pred.te, reference = iris.te$Species)
                                                                    > iris.te.PL$Petal.Length <- sample(iris.te.PL$Petal.Length)</pre>
Confusion Matrix and Statistics
                                                                    > pred.te.PL <- predict(iris.rp, newdata = iris.te.PL, type = "class"
                                                                    > confusionMatrix(data=pred.te.PL, reference = iris,te$Species)
             Reference
                                                                    Confusion Matrix and Statistics
             setosa versicolor virginica
Prediction
  setosa
                  10
                               0
                                                                                Peference
                   0
                                9
                                                                               setosa versicolor virginica
  versicolor
                                          1
                                                                    Prediction
  virginica
                   0
                               1
                                           q
                                                                      setosa
                                                                                      З
                                                                      versicolor
                                                                                     7
                                                                                                          0
                                                                                      ò.
                                                                                                          5
                                                                      virginica
Overall Statistics
                                                                    Overall Statistics
                Accuracy : 0.9333
                  95% CI : (0.7793, 0.9918)
                                                                                   Accuracy : 0.5
    No Information Rate : 0.3333
                                                                                     95% CI : (0.313, 0.687)
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.747e-12
                                                                        No Information Rate : 0.3333
                                                                        P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.04348
                   карра : 0.9
                                                                                      карра : 0.25
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

On the other hand, the Sepal length does not appear on the graph. And, indeed, it is not important for the prediction as shown below.

<pre>> confusionMatrix(data=pred.te, reference = iris.te\$Species) Confusion Matrix and Statistics Reference</pre>	<pre>> set.seed(567) > iris.tes.L< < iris.te > iris.te.SLS<pre>> iris.tes.L< < iris.te > iris.te.SLSsepal.Length <- sample(iris.te.SLSsepal.Length) > pred.te.SL <> predict.sl. <> predict</pre></pre>
Prediction setosa versicolor virginica	
setosa 10 0 0	Reference
versicolor 0 9 1	Prediction setosa versicolor virginica
virginica 0 1 9	setosa 10 0 0
	versicolor 0 9 1
Overall Statistics	virginica 0 1 9
Accuracy : 0.9333	Overall Statistics
95% CI : (0.7793, 0.9918)	Accuracy : 0.9333
No Information Rate : 0.3333	95% CT : (0.7793, 0.9918)
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.747e-12	No Information Rate : 0, 3333
	P-Value [ACC > NTR] : 8.747e-12
Kappa · 0.9	
Kuppu : 0:2	Kanna : 0.9

∃ >

Image: A matrix and a matrix

For tree, the importance of a variable can be read to some extend directly on the graph (if it is not too large). For a model like SVM, it is not. But we can still make the same analysis by shuffling the instances for a given feature (top: original; left: Petal length; right: Sepal length).

	<pre>> pred.te <- predict(iris.svm, newc > confusionMatrix(data=pred.te, ref Confusion Matrix and Statistics</pre>	data = iris.te, type = "class") Ference = iris.teSspecies)
	Reference Prediction setosa versicolor virg setosa 10 0 versicolor 0 9 virginica 0 1	ginica O 1 9
	Overall Statistics	
	Accuracy : 0.9333 95% cI : (0.7793, No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.747e-12	0.9918)
	Карра : 0.9	
<pre>> pred.te.PL <- predict(iris.svm, newdata = iris.te. > confusionMatrix(data=pred.te.PL, reference = iris. Confusion Matrix and Statistic</pre>	PL, type = "class") te\$Species)	> pred.te.SL <- predict(iris.svm, newdata = iris.te.SL, type = "class") > confusionMatrix(data-pred.te.SL, reference = iris.teSspecies) Confusion Matrix and Statistics
Reference Prediction setosa versicolor virginica setosa 6 0 0 versicolor 2 9 5 virginica 0 1 5		Reference Prediction setosa versicolor virginica setosa 10 0 0 versicolor 0 9 1 virginica 0 1 9
Overall Statistics		Overall Statistics
Accuracy : 0.7333 95% cI : (0.5411, 0.8772) No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.752e-06		Accuracy : 0.9333 95% CT : (0.773, 0.9918) No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.747e-12
Карра : 0.6		карра : 0.9
		(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三

MOB (HEC MSc Mgt BA)

Machine Learning

Several versions

The variable importance presented previously is called **model-agnostic**: it is independent of the model type.

There exist several ways of it:

- Modification of the variable: shuffling is one possibility. Perturbation is another (add a random noise). Simulation from another distribution.
- Modification of the score: accuracy is one possibility. Specificity/Sensitivity/Bal. accuracy, Entropy... The importance can be linked to the prediction of one level only.
- Regression: use RMSE, MAE, etc.

Model-specific VI

There exist also **model-specific** approaches that are specific to the model that is used.

In R,

- iml allows to compute model-agnostic variable importance, with any loss function.
- caret allows to compute mainly model-specific variable importance. Also model-agnostic type (limited choice of loss functions).

Example: iml

VI on SVM estimated on cross-entropy, repeated 100 times.

```
library(iml)
iris.iml <- Predictor$new(iris.svm, data = iris.te[,-5], y = iris.te[,5])
iris.imp <- FeatureImp$new(iris.iml, loss = "ce", n.repetitions = 100)
plot(iris.imp)</pre>
```



A D F A B F A B F A B

Example: iml

For each feature: The difference in entropy obtained between the original data set and the shuffled data set is computed. This is repeated 100 times. These differences are averaged and shown on the plot.

Variable importance

Example: caret and VarImp

VI on SVM estimated on AUC.



MOB (HEC MSc Mgt BA)

A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Example: caret and VarImp

Since no specific method was developped for SVM, a **filter-based** method is implemented. From the help of VarImp:

For classification, [...] the area under the ROC curve [...] is used as the measure of variable importance. For multi-class outcomes, the problem is decomposed into all pair-wise problems and the area under the curve is calculated for each class pair (i.e class 1 vs. class 2, class 2 vs. class 3 etc.). For a specific class, the maximum area under the curve across the relevant pair-wise AUC's is used as the variable importance measure.

Model-specific VI

Even for one model, there may exist lots of implementations. Below, we give one example: VarImp for CART. CART is recognized as *Recursive Partitioning* (from rpart). From the help of VarImp:

The reduction in the loss function (e.g. mean squared error) attributed to each variable at each split is tabulated and the sum is returned.

- At each split, the reduction of loss due to the best split on each variable is extracted.
- These loss reductions are summed.

(No resampling or measure of error on the VI estimate)

Variable importance

Model-specific VI



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Whatever implementation you use, VI aims at the same objective: quantify the importance of variables for the predictions of the model.

Some limitations are:

- **Instability**: the estimation of the importance can be unstable due to the random perturbation. Resampling can help (i.e., repeat the shufling several times).
- Interactions: sometimes it is the combination of two features that makes a good prediction (typ. trees). Variable importance cannot see this.

The variable importance is only seen with **the eyes of the model**. If the model is doing a poor job (e.g., low accuracy) then the variable importance analysis is of low quality.

Table of Contents

Concept

2 Variable importance

3 Partial Dependence Plots

4 LIME

표 제 표

- ∢ 🗗 ▶

Partial Dependence Plot

Variable important allows to inspect how much a variable is important in the construction of the prediction by a model.

Partial dependence plots (PDP) show in which direction is the association between a feature x and the prediction of y.

Partial Dependence Plot

Mathematically, for the feature x_s , let $f(x_s, x_{-s})$ be the prediction of y by the model f, then the PD-function of X_s at x_s is

$$F_{X_s}(x_s) = \int f(x_s, x_{-s}) p(x_{-s}) dx_{-s} = E[f(x_s, X_{-s})],$$

where $p(x_{-s})$ is the distribution of x_{-s} . $F_{X_s}(x_s)$ is the expected value over x_{-s} of the prediction when x_s is fixed (not conditional).

The estimation of the expectation above is obtained by averaging on the training set:

$$\hat{F}_{X_s}(x_s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_s, x_{-s}^{(i)}).$$

23 / 34

Estimation



3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Interpretation



- Left: PDP increases. The prediction increases in average when the feature increases ; it is a positive association.
- Right: PDP is stable. The prediction does not change in average when the feature value changes; there is no association.

Discussion

- PDP allows to explore the link between response and any feature with the eyes of the model.
- PDP can be also used to see the **feature importance** with the **amplitude** of the graph (the larger the more important):

$$|\max_{x_s} F_{X_s}(x_s) - \min F_{X_s}(x_s)|.$$

It is richer than VI but also longer to run.

- PDP can be made **multivariate** with X_s being several features (usually, max. 2).
- By averaging, PDP **ignores any interaction** between x_S and x_{-S} .

Table of Contents



2 Variable importance

3 Partial Dependence Plots



< 47 ▶

æ

LIME

LIME stands for **Local interpretable model-agnostic explanations**. The paradigm is that a large ML model can be **locally** approximated by an interpretable (smaller) model, a **surrogate model**.

- Select a instance of interest.
- Build instances close to it and predict them.
- Fit a surrogate model.
- Interpret it coefficients.

Illustration in 2 dimensions

On iris data, a random forest predicts setosa/virginica/versicolor from length and width (sum of the petal/sepal features). It is globally complex (left graph). Select a point of interest (blue circle). Around it (right plot), a logistic regression could be used. If you fit it on the black dots, you will find a positive association between large width and "being green", and no association with length.



Technical details

There is no unique way to build/interpret surrogate models. Often,

- Surrogate models are linear models with variable selection.
- The number of variables is fixed by the user.
- Categorical features are transformed to a dummy variable: 1 if it is the same as the point of interest, 0 otherwise.
- Numerical features are binned and dummy variables are created: 1 if it is the same bin as the point of interest, 0 otherwise.
- Weights are assigned to sampled data according to their proximity with the point of interest (Gower's).

With the bank data (see R code of examples). Three cases: Label 0 with high prob., label 1 with high prob., Label 1 with prob. $\approx 50\%$.



MOB (HEC MSc Mgt BA)

Interpretation for duration: no local behavior discovered

- Around Case 1: Duration being lower than 759 supports a label 0. This means that a low duration supports label 0 and, consequently, a larger duration would support label 1.
- Around Case 2: Duration being greater than 759 (lower than 1514) supports label 1. This means that a large duration supports label 1 and, consequently, a lower duration would support label 0.
- Around case 3: same as Case 2.

Regarding duration, case 1 and case 2 coincide. They also coincide with the general previous findings that duration is an important factor, with prob. of label 1 increasing with it.

The exploration of this two cases did not reveal any local behavior linked to duration. A similar analysis can be done for contact, housing, etc.

Interpretation for campaign: local behavior

- Around Case 1: Campaign being low supports label 0.
- Around Case 2: Campaign being low contradicts label 1. This is in line with Case 1.
- Around Case 3: Campaign being low supports label 1. This is in contradiction with Cases 1 and 2.

This example shows that a global behavior (campaign is positively associated with label 1) can change locally. The fact that Case 3 has a probability around 0.5 makes it interesting.

Limitation: here the effect of Campaign is so small that a good explanation is that it has almost no effect everywhere. That was just a toy example.

LIME

- The choice of the point of interest can be anything, even non-observed instances. Average, extreme, and change points are often of interest.
- This method interprets locally the link between the features and the response, again, with the eyes of the model.
- The surrogate models (as well as the global model) cannot support rigorous causal analysis. We can discover only association here.
- Like often, this method can be unstable (implementation, choice of the model, etc.). Try several combinations and be cautious with conclusions.